

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/651,528 | 08/29/2003 | Kristin Monday | 14567-010001 | 8870 |
| 7590 03/27/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Kristin Monday | | | WINSTON, RANDALL O | |
| Wonder Intern | ational, LLC | | | |
| P.O. Box 6128 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Incline Village, NV 89450 | | | 1655 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/27/2000 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 15. p. 5. | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/651,528 | MONDAY, KRISTIN | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Randall Winston | 1655 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | orrespondence address | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🏻 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 / | August 2003. | | | | | |
| · — | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)□ | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)🖂 | 8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ : | The specification is objected to by the Examin | ner | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | • • | _ | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inform | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/651,528 Page 2

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a composition comprising a glandular agent, a kelp derivative and a pituitary extract etc, classified in class 424, subclass 195.17, for example
- II. Claims 10-18, drawn to a method of increasing breast size in a subject comprising administering the composition, classified in class 424, subclass 565, for example.
- 2. The inventions are distinct from each other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product (i.e. I) and process of use (i.e. II). The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed (i.e. a kelp derivative obtained from bladder wrack) can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as for the treatment of skin disorders (e.g. Kasai et al. US 6800789 column 5 lines 57-66).

3. The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other.

They have acquired a separate status in the art as separate subject for inventive effect

Art Unit: 1655

and require independent searches. The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all the above inventions in one application.

Furthermore, for claims 1-18, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

For claims 1-18 the claimed species are:

a) Fenugreek b) Saw Palmetto c) Mexican Wild Yam d) Fennel e) Dong Quai

Damiana f) Blessed thistle g) L-Tyrosine h) Mothers Wort i) Black Cohosh j) Oat Grass
k) Hops Flower

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from the list of a-k above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,528

Art Unit: 1655

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which a written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a)

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be completed must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirements be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Winston whose telephone number is 571-272-0972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,528

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUSAN COE PRIMARY EXAMINED

Page 5